## **MEMORANDUM**

STN BLA #: SPONSOR: PRODUCT: for the treatmer	
DATE:	August 26, 2002
SYNOPSIS:	
their currently in from the present saline containing buffer and 0.05	s submitted a supplemental Biologics Licensing application for a change in formulation of marketed interferon-beta 1a product, AVONEX. In this application, they are changing at, lyophilized formulation of interferon-beta 1a at 30 µg/ml in sodium phosphate buffered ag 1.5% human serum albumin, to a serum albumin-free formulation in sodium acetate polysorbate 20 (Tween-20). The new material is to be provided in single-use, glass abber tip caps, or with a in place of the tip cap.
contaminants of sBLA). These the rubber tip cas containing , approximate pa	final, formulated product by  tified two  as  f the interferon-beta 1a preparation (Section 1.5.4.8, Impurities, of the CMC section of the contaminants were only identified in interferon preparations stored in glass syringes with aps, and not in those with  On further analysis, these were identified in the  and in the  The  tient doses of each of these contaminants, as calculated by the sponsor, are  The schedule for dosing of multiple sclerosis patients  is weekly, for the life of the subject.
"are approximated based on animated information contaminants has been supported by the contaminants of	ttes that the levels of each of these contaminants in the final, formulated drug product mately a million times lower than the corresponding LD <sub>50</sub> limits for these compounds, all toxicity data" and that "As a result, they do not pose any safety concern in humans." In cited by the sponsor from the Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for each of the three as evaluated the acute toxicity of each agent following oral administration in rats and mice. In the compounds is presented in the Table, below:

Comment: None of these agents was tested for toxicity by the clinical route of administration for AVONEX®, which is intra-muscular (i/m).

A.

same.

Additional search of the literature and review of the existing toxicity databases has revealed that all three of these contaminants are highly lipid soluble, and the potential for accumulation and storage in fatty tissue following repeated exposure exists. All three compounds are listed on the Environmental Protection Agency's Toxic Substances Control Act as potential carcinogenic, tumorigenic, or tumor-promoting agents. However, genotoxicity data derived from the MSDS are either equivocal or non-existent, and other effects, including effects on reproductive accessory organs, nerve conduction velocity, liver and cardiac toxicities, effects on spermatogenesis, and tumors in F<sub>1</sub> or F<sub>2</sub> offspring were also reported. Therefore, a calculation of acceptable daily exposure limits for each of the three agents was performed, according to the procedures for setting exposure limits for residual, Class 2 solvents in pharmaceutical agents, and as described in the ICH Guidance Q3C, "Impurities: Guidelines for Residual Solvents." Data for the NOAEL of each compound in chronic exposure testing were derived either from published studies in the open literature, or from the International ARC monographs, where available.

was not mutagenic when tested in the Ames assay, and inconclusive results were obtained in the mouse micronucleus assay. Carcinogenicity data for — after oral feeding were equivocal. Multiple studies in rats demonstrated no significant differences in incidence or type of tumors after feeding up to 1% — in food daily for two years, as compared to control animals. Studies in mice, however, demonstrated statistically significant increases in lung tumors over control groups after feeding 0.6% — in food daily for two years in B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice, but no difference in tumor incidence or type between BALB/c mice fed control or 0.75% — for two years. A repeat of the carcinogenicity study in B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice at a maximal dose of 0.5% — in feed for 96 weeks had no statistically significant differences in tumor incidence or type from the control group. These data were used to calculate an acceptable permitted daily exposure (PDE limit) for — in the proposed dose and schedule of

AVONEX<sup>®</sup>, assuming that the bioavailability of the agent by both oral administration (the route tested in the carcinogenicity studies) and i/m injection (the clinical route of administration) were approximately the

Rats, NOAEL for carcinogenicity, 1% daily in feed x 2 years; estimated daily intake 0.1 g/d (in 10 gm food) for a 400 g rat is approximately 250 mg/kg/d

$$\frac{250 \text{ mg/kg/d x } 60 \text{ kg (female human)}}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = \frac{1500 \text{ mg/d}}{500} = 3 \text{ mg/d(ose)}$$

Rat, NOAEL for reproductive toxicity, 25 mg/kg/d, p/o x 13 weeks

$$\frac{25 \text{ mg/kg/d x } 60 \text{ kg (female human)}}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1} = \frac{1500 \text{ mg/d}}{500} = 3 \text{ mg/d(ose)}$$

Mouse, NOAEL for carcinogenicity, 0.5% daily in feed x 2 years; estimated daily intake 0.025 g/d (in 5 g food) for a 30 g mouse is approximately 0.83 mg/kg/d

$$\frac{0.83 \text{ mg/kg/d x } 60 \text{ kg human}}{12 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = \frac{49.8 \text{ mg/d}}{120} = 0.415 \text{ mg/d(ose)}$$

Dog, NOAEL for toxicity, tumorigenicity 24,411 mg/kg total estimated intake, 260 days

 $\frac{24111 \text{ mg/kg x } 60 \text{ kg}}{2 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = \frac{1446660}{200}$  (divided by 260 days) = 27.8 mg/d(ose)

tentative safety factor:

calculated acceptable daily exposure limit for 0.415 mg/dose = 830 estimated level of in patient dose of AVONEX 500 ng/dose

B. \_\_\_\_\_

No carcinogenicity or mutagenicity data were available for this product. However, the toxicities of this product include anti-estrogenic effects, which were predominantly observed in male rats exposed to 80 mg — t.i.w. by s/c injection for 13 weeks. These data were used to calculate an acceptable PDE for — in the proposed dose and schedule of AVONEX®, assuming that the bioavailability of the agent by both s/c administration (the route tested in the male fertility and toxicity studies) and i/m injection (the clinical route of administration) were approximately the same.

Rat, NOAEL for effects on male reproductive accessory organs, spermatogenesis, 20 mg/rat t.i.w x 13 weeks (estimated 50 mg/kg for 400 gm rat)

 $\frac{50 \text{ mg/kg/dose X 60 kg}}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x 5 x } 10 \text{ x 1}} = \frac{3000 \text{ mg/dose}}{2500} = 1.2 \text{ mg/dose}$ 

tentative safety factor:

calculated acceptable daily exposure limit for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 1.25 mg/dose = 20,000 estimated level of \_\_\_\_\_ in patient dose of AVONEX® = 60 ng/dose

C

No carcinogenicity or mutagenicity data were available for this product. Short-term toxicity studies in rats demonstrated a dose-related, reversible neurotoxicity as manifested by decreased conduction velocity in peripheral nerves, and increases in both absolute and relative refractory periods to further stimulation, in the absence of any microscopic evidence of nerve damage. In oral dosing studies in rats, the liver was identified as the target organ, and focal myocarditis was observed in male animals at doses of 150 mg/kg/d and higher. Female rats tended to demonstrate the peripheral neurotoxicities at lower doses than the male rats. Teratogenicity was not observed in two studies in rats, both of which included doses that were maternally toxic. These data were used to calculate an acceptable PDE for — in the proposed dose and schedule of AVONEX®, assuming that the bioavailability of the agent by both oral administration (the route tested in the neurotoxicity studies) and i/m injection (the clinical route of administration) were approximately the same.

Rat, NOAEL in female rats for effects on nerve conduction velocity, refractory time, 1.6 mg/kg/d x 14 d

$$\frac{1.6 \text{ mg/kg/dose } \times 60 \text{ kg}}{5 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1} = \frac{96 \text{ mg/dose}}{5000} = 19.2 \text{ µg/dose}$$

Rat, NOAEL in male/female rats for liver toxicity, myocarditis, 15 mg/kg/d x 18 weeks

 $\frac{1.5 \text{ mg/kg/dose x } 60 \text{ kg}}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 5 \text{ x } 5 \text{ x } 1}$  =  $\frac{90 \text{ μg/dose}}{1250}$  = 72 μg/dose

tentative safety factor:

calculated acceptable daily exposure limit for \_\_\_\_\_ 19.2 µg/dose = 19.2 µg/dose